

Approved For Release 2003/04/23 : CIA-RDP90-00610R000100160017-2

Mr. McCORMACK. That we can get through pleasantly.

Mr. HOFFMAN of Michigan. I am sure we can. I will try to restrain myself this afternoon. May I ask whether the bill introduced today to end this strike which is causing so much discomfort in this city will be given consideration? Is there any prospect of getting that legislation before the House?

Mr. McCORMACK. The gentleman from Michigan is a much better parliamentarian than I.

Mr. HOFFMAN of Michigan. My, my, my, Mr. Speaker, I want those words taken down. The statement is not accurate.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Massachusetts asks unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today it adjourn to meet on Monday next. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

INTERIM AUTHORITY TO THE SPEAKER AND THE CLERK

Mr. McCORMACK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that notwithstanding the adjournment of the House until Monday next, the Clerk be authorized to receive messages from the Senate and that the Speaker be authorized to sign any enrolled bills and joint resolutions duly passed by the two Houses and found truly enrolled.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

MILITARY, NAVAL, AND AIR FORCE INSTALLATIONS

Mr. VINSON submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H. R. 6829) to authorize certain construction at military, naval, and Air Force installations, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. No. 1083)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 6829) to authorize certain construction at military, naval, and Air Force installations, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows: In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment insert the following:

"TITLE I

"SEC. 101. The Secretary of the Army is authorized to establish or develop military installations and facilities by the acquisition, construction, conversion, rehabilitation, or installation of permanent or temporary public works in respect to the following projects, which include site preparation, appurtenances, and related utilities and equipment:

"Continental United States

"Technical Services Facilities

"(Ordnance Corps)

"Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland: Troop housing, community facilities, utilities, and family housing, \$1,738,000.

"Black Hills Ordnance Depot, South Dakota: Family housing, \$1,428,000.

"Blue Grass Ordnance Depot, Kentucky: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$509,000.

"Erie Ordnance Depot, Ohio: Operational and maintenance facilities and utilities, \$1,933,000.

"Frankford Arsenal, Pennsylvania: Utilities, \$855,000.

"Lordstown Ordnance Depot, Ohio: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$875,000.

"Pueblo Ordnance Depot, Colorado: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$1,843,000.

"Red River Arsenal, Texas: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$140,000.

"Redstone Arsenal, Alabama: Research and development facilities and community facilities, \$2,865,000.

"Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$347,000.

"Rossford Ordnance Depot, Ohio: Utilities, \$400,000.

"Savanna Ordnance Depot, Illinois: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$342,000.

"Seneca Ordnance Depot, New York: Community facilities, \$129,000.

"Sierra Ordnance Depot, California: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$1,075,000.

"White Sands Proving Ground, New Mexico: Troop supporting facility, and research and development facilities, \$1,247,000.

"Wingate Ordnance Depot, New Mexico: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$632,000.

"(Quartermaster Corps)

"Atlanta General Depot, Georgia: Storage facilities, \$84,000.

"Belle Meade General Depot, New Jersey: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$174,000.

"Fort Lee, Virginia: Troop housing, community facilities, medical facility, storage facilities, training facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, and family housing, \$8,589,000.

"Memphis General Depot, Tennessee: Family housing, \$99,000.

"New Cumberland General Depot, Pennsylvania: Family housing, \$568,000.

"Sharpe General Depot, California: Utilities and family housing, \$337,000.

"(Chemical Corps)

"Army Chemical Center, Maryland: Troop housing, storage facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, and utilities, \$1,248,000.

"Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah: Maintenance facilities, \$92,000.

"Camp Detrick, Maryland: Utilities, \$452,000.

"Dugway Proving Ground, Utah: Troop housing, hospital and medical facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, and family housing, \$1,129,000.

"Pine Bluff Arsenal (including Midwest Chemical Depot), Arkansas: Land acquisition, \$3,000.

"Rocky Mountain Arsenal, Colorado: Operational and maintenance facilities and utilities, \$773,000.

"(Signal Corps)

"Decatur Signal Depot, Illinois: Operational and maintenance facilities, \$303,000.

"Fort Huachuca, Arizona: Airfield pavements, community facilities, storage facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, utilities, troop housing, and family housing, \$5,913,000.

"Lexington Signal Depot, Kentucky: Maintenance facility, and family housing, \$538,000.

"Fort Monmouth, New Jersey: Community facilities, \$615,000.

"Sacramento Signal Depot, California: Troop housing, maintenance facility, and family housing, \$715,000.

"Tobyhanna Signal Depot, Pennsylvania: Troop housing, \$649,000.

"Two Rock Ranch Station, California: Community facilities, and family housing, \$1,298,000.

"Vint Hill Farms Station, Virginia: Community facilities, storage facility, and operational and maintenance facility, \$695,000.

"(Corps of Engineers)

"Army Map Service, Maryland: Operational and maintenance facility, \$62,000.

"Fort Belvoir, Virginia: Troop housing, community facilities, research and development facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, utilities, and family housing, \$4,608,000.

"Granite City Engineer Depot, Illinois: Operational and maintenance facilities, and family housing, \$1,822,000.

"Marion Engineer Depot, Ohio: Storage facilities and utilities, \$1,146,000.

"(Transportation Corps)

"Brooklyn Army Base, New York: Utilities, \$1,055,000.

"Charleston Transportation Depot, South Carolina: Storage facilities and utilities, \$329,000.

"Fort Eustis, Virginia: Troop housing, community facilities, training facilities, medical facility, and operational and maintenance facilities, \$6,597,000.

"New Orleans Army Base, Louisiana: Storage facility, \$117,000.

"Oakland Army Base, California: Community facilities, storage facilities, and operational and maintenance facilities, \$1,923,000.

"Fort Story, Virginia: Utilities, \$41,000.

"West Coast Ammunition Terminal, California: Land acquisition, \$3,209,000.

"(Medical Corps)

"William Beaumont Army Hospital, Texas: Hospital and medical facilities, \$586,000.

"Brooke Army Medical Center, Texas: Hospital and medical facilities, \$549,000.

"Madigan Army Hospital, Washington: Hospital and medical facilities, \$334,000.

"Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia: Hospital facilities and research and development facilities, \$3,557,000.

"Field Forces Facilities

"(First Army area)

"Fort Devens, Massachusetts: Troop housing, administrative facilities, and family housing, \$7,275,000.

"Fort Dix, New Jersey: Community facilities, medical facilities, administrative facilities, and family housing, \$6,698,000.

"Fort Jay, New York: Waterfront facilities, \$731,000.

"Fort Niagara, New York: Storage facilities, \$209,000.

"Fort Totten, New York: Utilities, \$170,000.

"(Second Army area)

"Fort Holabird, Maryland: Troop housing, \$612,000.

"Fort Knox, Kentucky: Troop housing, training and administrative facilities, community facilities, medical facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, and family housing, \$8,990,000.

"Fort George G. Meade, Maryland: Community facilities, training and medical facilities, and operational and maintenance facilities, \$923,000.

"(Third Army area)

"Fort Benning, Georgia: Troop housing, community facilities, training and administrative facilities, medical facilities, storage facilities, operational and maintenance facilities, and family housing, \$10,392,000.

"Fort Bragg, North Carolina: Troop housing, community facilities, training and administrative facilities, medical facilities, airfield pavements, operational and maintenance facilities, and family housing, \$15,659,000.

FREDERICK F. GASKIN

Mr. LANE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (H. R. 1802) for the relief of Frederick F. Gaskin, together with a Senate amendment thereto, and concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Page 1, line 11, strike out "in excess of 10 percent thereof."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment was concurred in; and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PAUL E. MILWARD

Mr. LANE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (H. R. 1745) for the relief of Paul E. Milward, together with a Senate amendment thereto, and concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Page 1, strike out all after line 10 over to and including line 7 on page 2 and insert "That Paul E. Milward executes a release of the judgment entered in the case of Paul Milward against Peter C. Penta, No. 175385, in the municipal court of the city of Boston, on or about January 6, 1953: And provided further, That no part of the amount appropriated in this act in excess of 10 percent thereof shall be paid or delivered to or received by any agent or attorney on account of services rendered in connection with this claim, and the same shall be unlawful, any contract to the contrary notwithstanding. Any persons violating the provisions of this act shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction thereof shall be fined in any sum not exceeding \$1,000."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment was concurred in; and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

UTICA BREWING CO.

Mr. LANE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (H. R. 1747) for the relief of the Utica Brewing Co., together with a Senate amendment thereto, and concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Page 2, lines 9 and 10, strike out "in excess of 10 percent thereof."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment was concurred in; and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OF OHIO, INC.

Mr. LANE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (H. R. 2142) for the relief of the Highway Construction Company of Ohio, Inc., with a Senate amendment thereto, and concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment, as follows:

Page 1, line 10, strike out all after "amended" over to and including line 4, on page 2, and insert "Provided, That in making such determination of the excessive profits of the Highway Construction Co., the Tax Court of the United States may take into consideration the affiliation of that company with any other company, but the findings of such court shall be limited to determining only the amount, if any, of the excessive profits of the Highway Construction Co. and such court shall have no authority under this act to determine the amount, if any, of the excessive profits of any company affiliated with such Highway Construction Co.: Provided further, That the Tax Court shall have jurisdiction to determine the excessive profits of the Highway Construction Co. under this act only if such company files a petition with such court for redetermination within 90 days (not counting Sunday or a legal holiday in the District of Columbia as the last day) after the date of enactment of this act."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment was concurred in; and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AMENDMENT OF CAREER COMPENSATION ACT OF 1949

Mr. VINSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 46) providing for the enrollment, with changes, of S. 804, a bill to amend section 201 (e) of the Career Compensation Act of 1949, as amended, and so forth.

The Clerk read the concurrent resolution, as follows:

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That the Secretary of the Senate be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed, in the enrollment of the bill (S. 804) to amend section 201 (e) of the Career Compensation Act of 1949, as amended, to provide for advance payments of certain pay and allowances of members of the uniformed services, and for other purposes, to make the following changes, viz: On page 1, line 5, and in the first line of the title, respectively, of the engrossed bill, strike out "(e)" and in lieu thereof insert "(d)."

The concurrent resolution was concurred in; and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

Mr. HOFFMAN of Michigan asked and was given permission to address the House for 10 minutes today, following any special orders heretofore entered, and to revise and extend his remarks.

mission to address the House for 10 minutes on Monday next, following any special orders heretofore entered, and to revise and extend his remarks.

MISUSE OF DUCK STAMP MONEY

(Mr. JOHNSON of Wisconsin was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. JOHNSON of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, on May 27 of this year, I addressed the House with reference to the duck-stamp situation in support of a bill, H. R. 2142. Since that time, many conservationists from all sections of the United States have written to me indicating that this legislation is of national interest rather than limited in scope. Recently I received some correspondence from Mr. Seth Gordon, director of the California Department of Fish and Game. I have been fortunate in obtaining a statement Mr. Gordon delivered before the House Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs on June 28 and 29, 1954. There is much information contained in Mr. Gordon's statement which should be of interest to conservationists. Under leave to extend my remarks, I wish to commend this excellent statement to my colleagues:

STATEMENT TO HOUSE COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS OF THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS RELATIVE TO MISUSE OF DUCK-STAMP MONEY BY SETH GORDON, SACRAMENTO, CALIF., JUNE 28-29, 1954

Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, my name is Seth Gordon, and at present am the director of the California Department of Fish and Game, with offices in Sacramento.

By way of further identification, it was my privilege to be numbered among the early promoters of the duck-stamp law. Later as the conservation director of the Izaak Walton League, Chicago, then as president of the American Game Association, with headquarters here in Washington, I had a material part in the campaign for the enactment of the law establishing the national refuge system, the duck-stamp law to provide funds to finance it, and other important national legislation dealing with the general conservation problem.

DR. NELSON'S WARNING IN 1919

The basis for the legislation under which funds are now being contributed by 2,350,000 sportsmen from one end of the country to another, through the purchase of duck stamps, had its beginning back in February 1919 when the late Dr. E. W. Nelson, one of the Nation's foremost scientists, then Chief of the United States Biological Survey, while addressing a national conference on game and wildlife conservation at Ottawa, Canada, called attention to the fact that—

"Continued transformation on a large scale of marshy lands into farms in the Western United States and Canada is decreasing the resting places and feeding grounds of migratory wildfowl, and constitutes one of the most serious dangers to the future of these birds. If a sufficient number of sanctuaries are not supplied, and if the drainage and devotion to agricultural and other purposes of the marshy areas continue, migratory waterfowl will diminish despite every effort along other lines to conserve them."

That same year Dr. Nelson also discussed the entire problem with the game and fish